

CA-PMM**Project Name:** Emerging Threats - Phase 2**OCIO Project #:** 8570-58**Department:** California Department of Food and Agriculture**Reporting Period:** From: 8/5/09 To: 9/5/09**Team Member to Project Manager****Current Task Summary**

Task or Deliverable	Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issues?
Complete MDFS Design	8/31/09		No
Accomplished this week			
Final Infrastructure Design Specification Draft GUI Design Specification Draft Database Design Specification			
Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks			
Review Final Infrastructure Design Specification Review Draft GUI Design Specification Review Draft Database Design Specification			
Status Summary	Yes/No	Explanation	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?	No	ICG released a deliverable, and will make an update	
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?	No		
Are there problems which affect your ability to accomplish assigned tasks?	No		
Do you plan to take time off that is not currently scheduled?	No		

Status of Assigned Issues

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status
--------------	-------------	----------	--------

CA-PMM

Project Name: Emerging Threats - Phase 2

OCIO Project #: 8570-58

Department: California Department of Food and Agriculture

Reporting Period: From: 8/5/09 To: 9/5/09

Team Member to Project Manager

	See CDFA-ET Project Issue Log in Clarity		

CA-PMM**Project Name:** Emerging Threats - Phase 2**OCIO Project #:** 8570-58**Department:** California Department of Food and Agriculture**Reporting Period:** From: To:**Project Manager to Sponsor****Current Status Report**

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
1. Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	No	Complexity of requirements		Vendor diligently making updates
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	Yes	Requirements review causing a ripple effect in vendor deliverables		
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	Yes	External factors reduced scope		Require SPR Approval
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

CA-PMM

Project Name: Emerging Threats - Phase 2

OCIO Project #: 8570-58

Department: California Department of Food and Agriculture

Reporting Period: From: To:

Project Manager to Sponsor

Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
1. Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	Yes	This will cause a delay for the GUI System Development	Determine if vendor needs additional time to complete.
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
4. Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
9. Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

CA-PMM

Project Name: Emerging Threats - Phase 2

OCIO Project #: 8570-58

Department: California Department of Food and Agriculture

Reporting Period: From: To:

Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status and Accomplishments:

*Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during **this reporting period**.*

Final Infrastructure Specification delivered by vendor needs a revision. Vendor preparing drafts for GUI, Internal and External Interface, Database Design Specification.

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Contagious Equine Metritis	7/1/09		Done		7/1/09
MDFS	1/11/10		On Target		
Foreign Animal Disease	2/24/10		On Target		
Phase 3	2/22/10		On Target		
Phase 4	2/27/11		On Target		
Close	2/1/12		On Target		

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			
Milestones	X			
Deliverables	X			

CA-PMM

Project Name: Emerging Threats - Phase 2

OCIO Project #: 8570-58

Department: California Department of Food and Agriculture

Reporting Period: From: To:

Project Manager to Sponsor

Resources	X			
OneTime Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	X			

CA-PMM

Project Name: Emerging Threats - Phase 2

OCIO Project #: 8570-58

Department: California Department of Food and Agriculture

Reporting Period: From: To:

Project Manager to Sponsor

CA-PMM

Project Name: Emerging Threats - Phase II

OCIO Project #: 8570-58

Department: California Department of Food and Agriculture

Reporting Period: 7/29/09

Sponsor to Executive Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones: <i>List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.</i>					
Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Contagious Equine Metritis	7/1/09	7/1/09	Done		7/1/09
MDFS	1/11/10	1/11/10	On Target		
Foreign Animal Disease	2/24/10	2/24/10	On Target		

Variances Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance". <i>* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis</i>				
	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			No action required.
Milestones	X			No action required.
Deliverables	X			No action required.
Resources	X			No action required.
One Time Cost	X			No action required.
Continuing Cost	X			No action required.

CA-PMM**Project Name:** Emerging Threats - Phase II**OCIO Project #:** 8570-58**Department:** California Department of Food and Agriculture**Reporting Period:** 7/29/09**Sponsor to Executive
Committee****Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score	Score Justification
1. Customer Buy-In	High Degree of Buy-In	0	0 Green	Strong customer support.
	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1		
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2		
2. Technology Viability	Strong Viability	0	0 Green	Solution is effective, allows for expansion, can be efficiently supported and is viable for
	Medium Viability	1		
	Weak Viability	2		
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	<5%	0	0 Green	Project is ahead fo schedule.
	5% to 10%	1		
	>10%	2		
4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-Date (higher)	<5%	0	0 Green	Project is within forecasted spending.
	5% to 10%	1		
	>10%	2		
5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	0 to 3	0	0 Green	At this point, there are no high impact, high probability risks.
	4 to 6	1		
	>6	2		
6. Unresolved Issues (on time resolution)	On time	0	0 Green	There are no issues affecting the critical path at this time.
	Late with no impact	1		
	Late impacting the critical path	2		
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Fully engaged	0	0 Green	Sponsor is very engaged and fully supports the the Project.
	Partially engaged	1		
	Inadequate engagement	2		
8. Strategy Alignment	Strong alignment	0	0 Green	The Project aligns with the Department's strategic direction.
	Partial alignment	1		
	Weak or no alignment	2		
9. Value-to-Business	Strong	0	0 Green	Project adds value to AHFSS Business
	Medium	1		

CA-PMM

Project Name: Emerging Threats - Phase II

OCIO Project #: 8570-58

Department: California Department of Food and Agriculture

Reporting Period: 7/29/09

Sponsor to Executive Committee

	Weak	2	5	BUSINESS
--	------	---	---	----------

CA-PMM**Project Name:** Emerging Threats - Phase II**OCIO Project #:** 8570-58**Department:** California Department of Food and Agriculture**Reporting Period:** 7/29/09**Sponsor to Executive
Committee**

10. Vendor Viability (provide rationale for the rating in the field following the scorecard)	Strong	0	0	Green	Vendor is viable.
	Medium	1			
	Weak	2			
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	>90% on time	0	0	Green	Most of the Project milestones have been met to date, and we are close to being on schedule.
	80-90% on time	1			
	<80% on time	2			
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	>90% on time	0	0	Green	The Project is close to being on schedule.
	80-90% on time	1			
	<80% on time	2			
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	>90% assigned and available	0	0	Green	Project resources are assigned and diligently working.
	80-90% assigned and available	1			
	<80% assigned and available	2			
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	<15%	0	0	Green	None used
	15-25%	1			
	>25%	2			
15. Team Effectiveness	Highly Effective	0	0	Green	Team is extremely skilled and effective
	Moderately Effective	1			
	Ineffective	2			
Total			0	G	

Green = 0 - 8

Yellow = 9 - 19

Red = 20+

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale

High Viability - ICG is proactive to CDFA MDFS project needs in a timely manner.